Re	Project	View of	Feedback
f		proposal(s)	
1	SS50	Objection	Dear sir/madam
			I am writing to give my view on the closure of a whole estate for nearly 2 hours a day, denying access for friends, family, ubers, driving instructors, deliveries etc.
			I know many residents who voted against this scheme and the complete closure of ALL roads, but it appears the scheme has been authorised. I live on Barrenger Road, and if I need access to a taxi during these hours it will mean me having to walk up to Coppetts Road, it's absolutely an infringement of my rights that I cannot be collected from outside my house. Not being able to have deliveries when I choose is another infringement of my rights.
			Surely traffic on Marriott Road does not affect air pollution and children travelling to Coldfall school? If this scheme is for the benefit of air pollution and children's health then surely the traffic on Coppetts Road is more damaging (obviously decisions are revenue based).
			I think to close every surrounding road is unfair to residents, closing Everington Road and maybe the top ends of Steeds Road, Hill Road and Barrenger Road should have been considered giving some access for residents to be able to have some freedom during these hours to have guests, friends, family etc be able to visit and have access during these two hours.
			I am sure when the scheme starts you will get a lot more complaints.
			Is there somewhere that to view all comments on this proposal?

2	SS50	Objection	Dear Sir/Madam
			I want to object to the SS50 Coldfall primary school street proposal. It lacks logic and many of the reasons given to support the proposal are not factual or true.
			I have been a local resident of this area for 20 years (Home owner) and the congestion problem you are trying to solve has been caused by the following reason.
			The main entrance in Coldfall Avenue should be the only entrance to the school for the start and finish times of school. The main entrance is closer to the monitored pedestrian crossing on Coppetts Road and Colldfall Avenue already is a safe zone. The Everington Road driveway entrance should only be used for staff and vehicle access. Stop student access from the Everington Road entrance and the problem is solved.
			Thats the best trade off for your congestion concerns whilst not penalising the local neighbourhood. Close the school entrance in Everington Road and make all parents walk their children to school. Stop Parents driving their kids to the school entrance in Everington Road.
			With all respect the "tackle the climate emergency" is completely wrong and doesnt justify restricting and controlling the free movement of local residents. We already have a ULEZ zone in place London wide so a local restriction is not required. Green zones and climate emergency concerns are being stopped world wide as they have been shown to be false. Why does the council continue to push these naratives? Why are they wasting so much money on these proposals?
			The residents should never be monitored by cameras and parking permits Many penalty charge notices will be given to innocent victims who need to drive into our neighbourhood. I strongly object to have my freedom of movement being controlled by cameras and to not have free access to my home which I have worked all my life to achieve. I also resent the term Grant an Exemption or Free Exemption. The freedom of movement for residents of aprox. 400 homes should NEVER be given away.
			The council has created this problem and are now offering the restriction of free movement and camera

Appendix A – Responses to statutory consultation

monitoring as the only solution. It is totally disrespectful and lacks solid reasoning.	
Stop student access from the Everington Road entrance and the problem is solved.	е
Yours sincerely,	
REDACTED Steeds Road N10	

3	SS50	Objection	Following on from my previous response objecting to this proposal.
			Please can you confirm which is correct. In your document online it says one time, yet in the paper version distributed to residents it says a different time: file:///C:/Users/pitti/Downloads/Notice%20of%20Propos al.pdf "School Street Zone SS50 – Coldfall Primary School: Timed pedestrian and cycle zones in which motor vehicles, except for those issued a permit would be prohibited from entering, Mon-Fri 8:30-9.15am & 2.45-3.30pm during school term times and when the appropriate signs are on display on the following roads:"
			While in the paper version received through the door it says "8:30am - 9:15am and 2:45pm - 3:45pm (current proposal)" and also does not specify Mon - Fri.
			I assume it should be as per the online version I.e. Mon- Fri 8:30-9.15am & 2.45-3.30pm (45 mins in the AM and 45 mins in the PM) Not 45min AM and 1hr PM?
			Please confirm which is correct?
			Also in regards to the restriction on 2 vehicle exceptionsAs i mentioned in my previous correspondence our household has 3 vehicles and as such will need exception for 3 vehicles not 2. I am a sole trader and have a work van and car, sometimes i need to use the car and sometimes I need to use the van. My business address is registered in Steeds Road as is my residential address. But i need to use different vehicles on different days depending on what I am doing. My partner is also a sole trader and has one vehicle that is used for work and personal use. So as such we have three vehicle that may need to come and go during the proposed hours and we will need exceptions for all three as they are used for our sole trader businesses and person use.
			I appose the school street program on many grounds as per my previous response and I hope you will consider not implementing it at all for the numerous reasons given. You know that the majority of residents are opposed to this.
			Yours sincerely
			REDACTED

4	SS50	Objection	I object for several reasons. Primarily rbis will increase traffic on local roads and make it less safe for those walking to school from outside this zone. It's unlikely to reduce traffic so pollution will be come concentrated in surrounding residential roads. For residents in osier we will likely see an increase in school commuters trying to park there reducing safety for residents of osier. Computers will have to park on neighbouring rds and transport links to the school aren't great. Will the 243 run more regularly or a larger bus be used? It puts the school at risk due to reduced applications for reception places as people do commute so Coldfall From further away and with lowering numbers of children the school will be less financially viable.
			Teachers who commute, especially TA's will have to arrribe earlier before they are paid to if they need to drive. Being. A TA might be a less appealing job. Teachers who commute from further away might choose to leave their jobs and there is a shortage of teachers in london. This will further impact the education of children if the school cannot recruit good teaching staff/ For commuters like myself who need their car for work this will mean I arrive layer to work. I am an nhs community worker in another london borough and require my car for work. I have a n autistic child who refuses to walk to school and struggles to attend so this would impact on his education and his sisters as we would likely be late everyday if we had to walk as he would refuse and on days where he does not want to go could easily refuse to walk or abscond. This would also increase general family stress.
5	SS50	Objection	I object to the proposal because it effectively closes (for the busiest parts of the day) 5 roads on the Coldfall estate which will increase the traffic and introduce parking issues on neighbouring roads Halliwick Road, Sutton Road, Wilton Road and Greenham Road. Some of the roads included in the proposed school street area are not very near the school so closing them has no impact on the school surroundings. It is disruptive and unnecessary for a huge number of residents to have their streets closed to visitors and deliveries for significant amounts of time every weekday and will have a negative impact on all neighbouring streets.

6	SS50	Objection	I'd like to object to the new proposed School Streets plan for Coldfall school.
			Firstly, I understand the need for safety for children attending school, preventing accidents around the school, and lowering pollution. However, I don't believe this plan addresses any of these issues and could actually endanger children crossing busy roads and ends up with a much bigger build-up of traffic on Coppetts Road. This would create further pollution and disturbance for residents as parents from outside the area try to find a place to park.
			PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE DETAILS HAVE BEEN REDACTED. THE OBJECTOR MAKES THE POINT THAT THE SCHOOL STREET MAY HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT UPON A BUSINESS WHICH RELIES ON VISITORS COMING BY CAR, ESPECIALLY DURING POOR WEATHER.
			I am aware that the parents could drop their children outside the street school area but I can't see how they can do this with little ones as young as 9 months, especially during the cold or rainy weather, and the busy traffic the new area is going to bring.
			I also want to let you know that my wife and I don't own a car and we don't have any plans to have one at the moment.
			I hope you will take into consideration my concerns and I'm looking forward to hearing from you soon.
			Kind regards,
7	SS50	Objection	I'd like to object to the new proposed School Streets plan for Coldfall school. Firstly, I understand the need for safety for children attending school, preventing accidents around the school, and lowering pollution. However, I don't believe t this plan addresses any of these issues and could actually endanger children crossing busy roads and ends up with a much bigger build-up of traffic on Coppetts Road. This would create further pollution and disturbance for residents as parents from outside the area try to find a place to park.
			PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE DETAILS HAVE BEEN REDACTED. THE OBJECTOR MAKES THE POINT THAT THE SCHOOL STREET MAY HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT UPON A BUSINESS WHICH RELIES ON VISITORS COMING BY CAR, ESPECIALLY DURING POOR WEATHER

		I also want to let you know that REDACTED and I don't own a car and we don't have any plans to have one at the moment. I hope you will take into consideration my concerns and I'm looking forward to hearing from you soon. Kind regards,
8 SS50	Objection	It is unacceptable that my email acknowledgement of my objection does not include the actual objection I made. I am therefore writing this again so I can copy and paste it elsewhere. I want the Councillors to know that this affects disabled people without cars in all the existing areas as well as the proposed ones There are 140 disabled residents on this estate as per figures provided from an FOI and that does not include those who are not claiming Housing Benefits or Council tax reduction. How do you expect disabled residents to get to their hospital or GP appointments when mini cabs will refuse to enter the area during hours of operation. As we are all very well aware the NHS appointments cannot be shifted to suit our needs. The nearest bus stop is over 300 metres away (as provided by Google maps) There are always long waiting times for route 234 and no seating so for a disabled person to take publuc transport is out of the question. I suggest that as this affects all Councils, Haringey approaches London Councils for guidance together with discussions with Age Uk and Disability groups. Another matter I'm interested in is how can a disabled badge holder who themselves doesn't own a car get a family member or friend to pick them up and take them without that car receiving a penalty charge notice. The resident may have a pool of people who they rely on based on their availability not just 2 people. Not all disabled people who receive PIP for care have a blue badge. Some like myself have been considered to be able to walk 50 metres on the majority of the days something im disputing as around half of the year I have been housebound as ive suffered sciatica and numbness down both legs. To walk to a bus stop would be horrendous but even if I did have a blue badge as I do not have a vehicle at presrnt my family or friends all work or live out of London so regardless I have to rely on mini cabs. Councillors please consider the needs of the disabled.

			Whilst I appreciate many comments from parents asking to keep children safe on the street this should in no way compromise the needs of elderly and disabled residents.
9	SS50	Objection	It seems you are totally ignoring the majority of people who live on the estate and do not want the school streets implemented! I've spoken to numerous people on my road and adjacent roads and have not met one person that supports it. Yet it seems the council is willing to ride rough-shot over out views. I disagree with the proposal on the grounds that: 1. It is not needed. 2. It is an invasion of privacy to have our movements recorded when legally going to and from home. 3. We have 3 vehicles in our household that are all used, yet the proposal only allows for exception for 2 vehicles. What am I supposed to do with the third vehicle that has no permit? 4. Before a final decision is taken. Please can the council provide the studies that they relied on to claim that this "Improves air quality". 5. Before a final decision is taken. Please can the council provide the studies that they relied on to claim that this "Reduces road danger and congestion". There has been no accidents involving pedestrians on the estate in all the time I have lived here. So please provide the information you are relying on to make this

claim.

- 6. Before a final decision is taken. Please can the council provide the studies that they relied on to claim that this "Encourage active travel". "Streets are designed for people, rather than cars"!? This statement is incorrect. The pavement is designed for people and the roads are designed for cars. I pay road tax to be able to use all three vehicles in our household and you are trying to restrict this usage, and currently proposing that I will not be able to use one of my vehicles during the time restrictions, and that I should have my every move recorded by an ANPR camera when going about my lawful business. This is a civil liberties issue not to mention government overreach.
- 7. The public consultation is clearly a tick box exercise as despite widespread disagreement you are still pushing ahead and have only made some small changes to the proposed timings of the restrictions. 8. Most tradesperson's start work at 8:30am. Under the current timings it means that we would not be able to have a builder of engineer come to do works on our property during these restricted times. This is not fair and there needs to be a mechanism in place for residence to be able to add a registered vehicle to the system at no cost. As in the scenario where someone on the estate is having building works for 3 - 6 months you are heavily restricting the hours that this building work can take place and potentially driving up the cost of said works by restricting the hours of work for the tradesperson on the properties within the estate. 9. We would not be able to make use of delivery services for hot food, or groceries during these hours. This will effect pensioners and disabled access to shopping delivery services at the hours they may require them.

10. We cannot get post of other courier deliveries during these restricted times.

If this goes ahead despite the will of those who live on the estate I will require at the very least an exception for all 3 vehicles in our household. And will fight this all the way if this change is not made at the very least!

The whole proposal is ill thought, not wanted and has no basis for going ahead.

Your sincerely

REDACTED

10	SS50	Objection	Re: School Streets Proposal 2024-T44 I'd like to object to the new proposed School Streets plan for Coldfall school. Firstly, I understand the need for safety for children attending school, preventing accidents around the school, and lowering pollution. However, I don't believe this plan addresses any of these issues and could actually endanger children crossing busy roads and ends up with a much bigger build-up of traffic on Coppetts Road. This would create further pollution and disturbance for residents as parents from outside the area try to find a place to park. PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE DETAILS HAVE BEEN REDACTED. THE OBJECTOR MAKES THE POINT THAT THE SCHOOL STREET MAY HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT UPON A BUSINESS WHICH RELIES ON VISITORS COMING BY CAR, ESPECIALLY DURING POOR WEATHER I also want to let you know that my wife and I don't own a car and we don't have any plans to have one at the moment. I hope you will take into consideration my concerns and I'm looking forward to hearing from you soon.
44	CCEO	Objection	Kind regards,
11	SS50	Objection	Safety for children that cross not on the crossings Parking for the disabled residents who have trouble with parking already due to school rush hours! Traffic means more road rage which means more trouble in the community
12	SS50	Objection	This is going to cause lots of problems. Deliveries, tradesmen, guests unable to arrive. There is no really issue with the school and parking at the moment and is purely about earning the council money from fines! You are proposing these school streets all over Muswell Hill. It will be gridlock and utter chaos. Complete overkill and madness.
13	SS50	Objection	This is going to create traffic on Trott Road and tetherdown. That will make my cycle commute more dangerous as I have to pass standing traffic. It will also put unreasonable restrictions for residents in the impacted area, more so that a bit of school drop off traffic.

14	SS50	Objection	This not only affects the Coldfall school street proposal
14	SS50	Objection	This not only affects the Coldfall school street proposal but also the existing ones. The Councillors i feel have NO regard for disabled residents. This is not just Haringey but all Councils and its important you seek guidance from London Councils and disability groups as well as Age Uk. I do not drive, do not have a disabled badge as it was decided by Pip i could walk 50 metres the majority of the time although Im disputing this but I do get Pip for care. I often get sciatica down both legs so it renders me unfit to Walk 300 metres (distance taken from google map) to nearest bus?stop. I often get cabs for hospital appointments or Gp appointments and as you are probably aware of how?the?NHS operates you cannot pick and chose your time slot. Appointments are normally early morning. Before this is put into place in any other areas, the council need to consider a process that allows mini cabs to enter during the times. Whilst I appreciate this would be difficult to set up a suggestion could be that rather than issuing the penalty notice immediately maybe there is a 48 hour delay where somehow relavent evidence can be sent. Alternatively as cabs have to be registered the councils have access to the license cab number portal not sure who runs that. One final note I had written to tfl to reinstate the bus stop they took away by Marriott Road which was only 100 metres away. They have said this is with Haringey to consider. This last piece of information is?for the Councillors. I asked for an Foi from Haringey regarding number of disabled residents living in the Coldfall Estate area there are 140. Not all disabled people have cars and rely on friends
			and family members to get them to appointments. Whilst you state disabled badge holders are exempt how does that actually worl if they dont have a car and have a pool of people they rely on as and when they are
15	SS50	Objection	available! This will cause more traffic and issues for the residents,
		•	I have to drive for part of my work and this will cause major inconvenience and delays to my job. Moving the cars over will cause even more traffic on the surrounding roads poor poor idea and judgment from the council. No thank you.
16	SS50	Objection	This will cause utter traffic chaos, and just push cars onto other streets. People often need to drive to schools because they work and cannot walk kids then get to their employment. It causes additional stress and daring behaviour, parents will then end up setting their kids down as they won't be able to park and then more younger children will be walking alone which actually increases the chances of accidents happening.

17	SS50	Objection	Traffic is never an issue on the Everington proposed
17	3330	Objection	school road. All this scheme will do is cause huge
			inconvenience for all residents on Barrenger, Steeds,
			Marriott , Hill & Everington.
18	SS50	Objection	Wholly objecting to the scheme as:
			- There is no parking issue currently during the drop-off
			and pick up times; not a safety issue
			- The proposal will result to significant parking issues in
			the adjacent streets (immediately outside of the
			proposed zone)
			- Deliveries and visitors will be hindered significantly; we
			have help to support the family that come and leave
			during the proposed hours of control and they will not be
			able to come to our house
			- The results of the "informal" consultation done just
			previously showed very clearly that the majority are not
			in favor of the scheme. Despite that, the council is
			proceeding with the scheme, and the only thing that has
			changed is shortening the controlled hours by just a bit. This does not address the majority of the comments
			received.
19	SS50	Objection	Dear Traffic & Roads Team,
	0000	Objection	Boar Traine a reado Foam,
			I wish to object to the proposed Orders of the following
			School Street Zone SS54 – Coldfall Primary School.
			I bring my children to extracurricular activities once a
			week after school. This school street change will not
			allow me to do so efficiently. I also live on Sutton Road.
			I don't wish to have cars parked on Sutton Road for
			school pickup/drop-off, as Sutton Road is already a
			hugely busy street because it's close to the shops.
			Instead of school street zones, I propose for those
			school streets to be charged for parking during those
			school hours for vehicles without residential/business
			parking permits.
			Thank you
20	SS50	Support	Hi,
			I am extremely pleased that the new school street
			above will soon be in force Can you please explain why
			the times of enforcement are reduced compared with SS15 on the other entrance to the school as the 3
			ladder roads will increase air pollution and affect
			pedestrian safety Thanks
21	SS50	Support	I am a parent at the school. I live over a mile away and
			drive my son to school everyday. This would greatly
			complicate our daily routine.
			·
			AND I STILL SUPPORT IT
			People are selfish maniacs and will stop their cars
Ì	1		anywhere

22	SS50	Support	I am a resident in the area and walk to school - there is an insane level of traffic and extremely inconsiderate and unsafe drivers in the morning - there are times when i am waiting to turn into Marriott from Coppetts which is a very busy road, and I have to wait for 10 cars to pass while I am blocking Coppetts as none of the oncoming parents traffic will let me go. I have once tried to do my school run (my children do not go to school in Coldfall) and I made the mistake of driving along Barrenger as my car was parked there and it took me 25 minutes to get to Coppetts, and I had to drive back through Marriott. It was the worst. Since Coldfall takes kids mostly within catchment this seems like inexcusable traffic from people who could walk/
23	SS50	Support	The trafic in the morning is horrendous and I fully support this proposal
24	SS50	Query	I am a resident on Coldfall Avenue. I write regarding the statutory consultation on the proposed school street above. I moved to the area after the initial consultation with my wife and young son. I walk my son to nursery on Creighton Avenue at school times and/or cycle to work on those days, including along Coppett's Road, which is the main road off which Everington Road is located. In principle I fully understand and support the desire and policy to reduce the number of cars near primary schools. I of course want children to be safe and to have as much clean air as possible. However, I am very concerned about this proposal as it stands, given its likely impact on Coppett's Road. Coppett's Road is already a busy and chaotic road, with lots of cars, and lots of cars stopping and starting. I am a confident city cyclist but am nervous about cycling along it at school times. I also feel very nervous walking with my 2 year old son along it given the amount of traffic. This seems very likely to get worse if cars are prevented from going down Everington Road if nothing is done to reduce traffic on Coppett's Road (I add as an aside that short stretches of cycle lanes are not the answer as those just cause pinch points often at the most dangerous spots). I cannot imagine that the people who drive short distances to drop their children off will all suddenly stop doing so just because of the closure of Everington Road. Further, the above problem is likely to impact on children and parents who actually do cycle/walk their children to school, many of whom will do so via Coppett's Road.

			Has any traffic modelling been done, or any other evidence/information gathered about how this will impact on pedestrians (including children walking to school) and cyclists on Coppett's Road? If so, what has that shown? Either way, that would clearly appear to be a significant consideration. Kind regards, REDACTED
25	SS50	Query	I am writing as head teacher of Coldfall Primary School. Thank you for the letter regarding the proposed school street outside my school on Everington Road. I would like to raise the following points: • Many of my support staff do not start work until 8.45am and they park in Everington Road as the school car park is already full by this point. It would have a huge detriment to the efficacy of the school, the school's ability to recruit and retain staff if I did not receive enough exemptions. These staff members serve Haringey and should be able to travel freely to and from their place of work. • I think the phrase 'At school drop-off and pick-up times, the road temporarily becomes a Pedestrian and Cycle Zone.' is disingenuous and untrue. It presents a dangerous vision about the roads around the school at pick up and drop off. Our experience from Coldfall Avenue is that residents (and those drivers who are unaware of or ignore the school street) travel freely during school street times and children and families walking in the road are putting themselves at risk.

			 I would also consider that temporarily allowing young children to walk in roads creates an inconsistent and potentially confusing situation for young children. Shouldn't we be telling children etc to stick to the pavements at all times? Surely this is the safest space for them to walk? Finally, I have to raise my concern that the school street may detrimentally affect the attractiveness of the school to prospective parents. As the financial viability of all schools in Haringey are threatened by falling roles I feel that this is pertinent and should be raised. I would like to state that I fully recognise the issues created by traffic and dangerous driving around the school. It was myself that drew the council's attention to thew problem in the first place. I welcome your consideration and response to my concerns.
26	SS50	Query	Dear Haringey School Streets Team,
			I am writing to request a School Streets permit for both Coldfall Avenue and Everington Road, due to exceptional circumstances affecting my household at REDACTED.
			Since Coldfall Avenue was designated a School Street over a year ago, and with Everington Road set to become one in September, our options for parking near our home have become extremely limited. We previously applied for a permit for Coldfall Avenue but were denied (despite REDACTED), and the new restrictions will leave us with no practical or safe parking alternatives.
			Although our property technically has a driveway, we are unable to use it for two reasons:
			 Our front garden layout physically prevents a car from being parked on the drive. A large tree on the public pavement outside our house severely restricts visibility, making any attempt to use the drive unsafe for both drivers and pedestrians, especially during busy school times.
			Reshaping our driveway is not financially possible for us. The cost of such work is prohibitive, and we have no access to grants or funding to make this feasible.
			The only alternative is to park across Coppetts Road, directly outside our house. However, this area can accommodate a maximum of four cars and, due to the way people park, often only fits two. With Everington Road also becoming a School Street, demand for these spaces will increase further.

			Parking on nearby roads is not a viable solution: Greenham Road is notoriously congested, as most houses lack driveways and rely on on-street parking. Wilton Road, while slightly better, is also very tight on parking due to limited driveways and high resident demand. I have attached a map showing our location and the surrounding roads for your reference[1]. I am also happy to provide photographs of our drive, the obstructing tree, and the limited parking options, should you require further evidence. Given these circumstances, I respectfully request that you consider our situation as an exceptional case and grant us a School Streets permit for both Coldfall Avenue and Everington Road. This would enable us to park near our home without causing additional congestion or safety issues elsewhere.
			Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to your response. Kind regards,
			REDACTED
27	Response made in relation to SS50 and three other School Streets being consulted simultaneously	Support	Hello, My children are now grown and I wish that School Streets were in existence then. Well done for planning to expand the current number of Streets. Less pollution and risk of injuries. Kind regards,
28	Response made in relation to SS50 and three other School Streets being consulted simultaneously	Support	We very much support the four schemes out to statutory consultation.
29	Response made in relation to SS50 and three other School Streets being consulted simultaneousl y	No comment	Having reviewed the proposals, which I see includes exemptions for emergency service vehicles, the MPS have no comments to make on any of the schemes.